#### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden. To Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, Wa 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT TYPE A	AND DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
A Short Hardiness Scale	
6. AUTHOR(S)	
Paul T. Bartone, Ph.D.	•
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
US Army Medical Research Unit-Europe Unit 29218 APO AE 09102	WRAIR/TR-95- 0009
A CRONCORNIC (MONTORNIC ACCUSY NAME (S) AND ADDOCCC(ES)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  US Army Medical Research & Materiel Command  Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012  ELECTE	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  SEP 0 7.1995	
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)	

This paper presents a short, 15-item scale for measuring personality hardiness. Personality hardiness has been found to be a stress/health moderator in a wide range of studies. Still, there are problems with its measurement. The present 15-item scale has excellent psychometric properties, and has demonstrated validity with several samples including soldiers exposed to combat stressors, and Army Special Forces candidates.

19950905 026

14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
			16. PRICE CODE
hardiness, st	ress, health		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
UNCLAS	UNCLAS	UNCLAS	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18

Date Challes Single

A Short Hardiness Scale

Paul T. Bartone, Ph.D.

Walter Reed Army Institute of Research US Army Medical Research Unit - Germany

Acces	Jan 5	
Acces	ion For	
NTIS	CRA&I	M
	TAB	ħ
	nounced	$\bar{\Box}$
Justif	cation	
By	oution/	
A	vailability	Codes
Dist	Avail and Specia	d/or al
9-1		

This paper presents a short, 15-item scale for measuring personality Hardiness. Personality Hardiness has been found to be a stress/health moderator in a wide range of studies. investigators who wish to include Hardiness in their research have been hampered by the lack of an accepted, standard tool for This 15-item scale includes positively as well as measuring it. negatively keyed items covering the three conceptually important Hardiness facets of Commitment, Control and Challenge. It shows excellent psychometric properties, including Cronbach's alpha coefficients ranging from .70 to .77 for the facets, to .83 for the overall scale. This scale has demonstrated appropriate criterion-related and predictive validity in several samples, with respect both to health and performance under high-stress conditions. Notably, scores on this hardiness measure are predictive of illness/symptom indicators and health behaviors in a large group (N=787) of men and women Army Reservists mobilized for the Gulf War. Also, as hardiness theory would predict, Army Special Forces candidates who score high on this measure are more likely to succeed in a rigorous and highly stressful selection course.

Presented at the July 1995 Annual convention of the American Psychological Society, New York, NY.

The views of the author do not necessarily reflect those of the Department of the Army, or the Department of Defense (para 4-3, AR 360-5).

Correspondence (e-mail):

BARTONEP@HEIDELBERG-EMH2.ARMY.MIL

# A SHORT HARDINESS SCALE

Paul T. Bartone, Ph.D.

### ABSTRACT

found to be a stress/health moderator in a wide range of This paper presents a short, 15-item scale for measuring personality hardiness. Personality hardiness has been studies. Still, there are problems with its measurement. The including soldiers exposed to combat stressors, and Army present 15-item scale has excellent psychometric properties, and has demonstrated validity with several samples Special Forces candidates.

## INTRODUCTION

Kobasa, 1984) has proven to be a stress/health moderator an individual differences variable that develops early in life commitment, greater sense of control, and are more open in a wide range of studies. Still, investigators who wish to change under certain conditions (Maddi & Kobasa, 1987). include Hardiness in their research have been hampered by the lack of an accepted, standard tool for measuring it (cf. Funk & Houston, 1987). Conceptually, "hardiness" is stressful and painful experiences as a normal part of life, and is reasonably stable over time, though amenable to to change and challenges in life. They tend to interpret Personality Hardiness (Kobasa, 1979; Maddi & Hardy persons have a high sense of life and work which is overall interesting and worthwhile.

#### HISTORY

ones, a new 50-item scale was developed for use with city analyses with mixed-gender military samples has resulted leads back to the original 53-item version used by Maddi, Kobasa and students at the University of Chicago in the refinement with military samples led to an improved 45executives. By adding new items and eliminating poor The geneology of the present short hardiness scale bus drivers (Bartone, 1989). Additional psychometric in a 15-item measure that displays good psychometric item version, and then a 30-item scale (Bartone et al, instrument as a measure of the hardiness construct. properties, and good evidence for the validity of the 1989; Bartone, 1991). Careful item and reliability early 1980's, primarily with samples of Illinois Bell

#### RELIABILITY

reliability coefficient of .52 (N=95). This coefficient may be .77 (commitment), .71 (control), and .70 (control). Similar This 15-item scale includes positively aand negatively for the total hardiness measure is .83, and for the facets, mobilized for the Gulf War, Cronbach's alpha coefficient Hardiness facets of commitment, control and challenge. collected after the unit had returned home to Germany. keyed items covering the three conceptually important The Time 1 data are from a mixed-gender military unit lowered as a function of quite different test conditions. while deployed in Saudi Arabia; the Time 2 data were internal consistency coefficients are seen with other In a sample of 700 Army reservists in medical units samples. Recent data show a 3-month test-retest

#### VALIDITY

hardiness interacts with stress to predict health outcomes. respect both to health and performance under high-stress selection course. In a recent study of stress and health in analyses show scores on this hardiness measure predict conditions. Notably, scores on this hardiness measure behaviors in a large group (N=787) of men and women are predictive of illness/symptom indicators and health Army medical workers deployed to Croatia, regression This scale has demonstrated appropriate criterionrelated and predictive validity in several samples, with candidates who score high on this measure are more Army Reservists mobilized for the Gulf War. Also, as hardiness theory would predict, Army Special Forces likely to succeed in a rigorous and highly stressful both depression and symptoms reports, and that

## TABLE 1: STEPWISE REGRESSION RESULTS, PREDICTING DEPRESSION (Short CES-D)

.17
.19

Model: F=14.88, p < .0000; DF 2, 123;

Sample: N=125 US Army deployed medical workers

## TABLE 2: STEPWISE REGRESSION RESULTS, PREDICTING Symptoms Reports

REDICTOR	R-Square	BETA	H	V Q
stress	14	.35	5.3	000.
Hardy X Family stress	.24	13	4.1	000.

Model: F=20.1, p < .0000; DF 2, 123;

Sample: N=125 US Army deployed medical workers

#### REFERENCES

Bartone, P.T. (1984). Stress and health in Chicago Transit Authority bus drivers. Chicago: University of Chicago, unpublished doctoral dissertation.

Bartone, P.T. (1989). Predictors of stress-related illness in city bus drivers. J. of Occupational Medicine, 31, 657-663. Bartone, P.T., Ursano, R.J., Wright, K.W. & Ingraham, L.H. (1989). The impact of a military air disaster on the health of assistance workers: A prospective study. J. of Nervous and Mental Disease, 177, 317-328. Funk, S.C. & Houston, B.K. (1987). A critical analysis of the hardiness scale's validity and utility. J. of Personality and Social Psychology, 53, 572-578.

Kobasa, S.C. (1979). Stressful life events, personality, and health: An inquiry into hardiness. J. of Personality and Social Psychology, 37, 1-11.

Maddi, S.R. & Kobasa, S.C. (1984). The Hardy Executive. Homewood, IL: Dow Jones-Irwin.

Correspondence:

e-mail: BARTONEP@HEIDELBERG-EMH2.ARMY.MIL

Note: The views of the author do not necessarily reflect those of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense (para 4-3, AR 360-5).